

ERRATA

Russell, A. P., and Ingham, J. M., 2010. Prevalence of New Zealand's Unreinforced Masonry Buildings. *Bulletin of the New Zealand Society for Earthquake Engineering*, 43(3), 182-201.

In the above paper, Figure 33 referred to data in Table 8. The data in Table 8 were correct, but Figure 33 was incorrectly produced, and as such did not reflect the correct information. Table 8 and the correct version of Figure 33 are reproduced below. Furthermore, recognising the significance of the recent M7.1 Darfield earthquake, the estimated %NBS of URM buildings in the historic Canterbury Province is highlighted in the updated version of Figure 33.

Table 8: Estimated number of potentially earthquake prone and earthquake risk URM buildings

Province	Potentially earthquake prone		Potentially earthquake risk		Unlikely to be significant risk	
Auckland	41	3%	628	31%	357	74%
Taranaki	59	4%	105	5%	0	0%
Hawke's Bay	85	6%	1	0%	0	0%
Wellington	622	45%	55	3%	0	0%
Marlborough	42	3%	5	0%	0	0%
Nelson	94	7%	37	2%	0	0%
Westland	39	3%	2	0%	0	0%
Canterbury	338	24%	513	26%	0	0%
Otago and Southland	66	5%	664	33%	126	26%
Total	1386	36%	2010	52%	483	12%




Figure 33: Estimated %NBS of URM buildings in Provinces throughout New Zealand.